

#### PATENT CUREATY



From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

INSPICOS A/S B ge Allé 5 P.O. Box 45 DK-2970 H rsholm DANEMARK

75 JULI 2005

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF ... THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

14.07.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

15450PCT00

International filing date (day/month/year)

24.06.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

25.06.2003

Applicant

PHARMEXA A/S et al.

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000451

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

**Authorized Officer** 

Bröcker-Tazelaar, G

Tel. +31 70 340-2103







### PATENT (PEA/094) TION TREATY



### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

•	icant's or agent's file	reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/DK2004/000451			International filing date 24.06.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.06.2003
1	national Patent Class 7K14/47, C07K1/1		ational classification and II	PC To the second	
	icant ARMEXA A/S et a	al.			
1.			liminary examination rensmitted to the applican		nis International Preliminary Examining 36.
2.	This REPORT co	onsists of a total of	of 8 sheets, including the	nis cover sheet.	
3.	This report is also	o accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisir	ıg:	
	a.  sent to the	e applicant and to	o the International Bure	au) a total of sheets,	as follows:
	and/o	s of the description r sheets containing nistrative Instruct	ng rectifications authori	ngs which have been zed by this Authority (	amended and are the basis of this report see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	beyor	s which supersed nd the disclosure lemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority con lication as filed, as ind	siders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	sequence	listing and/or tab	dureau only) a total of (in ples related thereto, in o Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable for	per of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a n only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	lating to the following it	ems:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion		
	☑ Box No. II	Priority			
	☑ Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 ations and explanations	<ul> <li>with regard to novel supporting such state</li> </ul>	ty, inventive step or industrial ement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume			
			in the international app		
	∐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application	
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of	his report
25.0	25.04.2005			14.07.2005	
Name and mailing address of the international			al	Authorized Officer	adies Pelantes
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			as	Schmitz, T Telephone No. +31 70	







# 10/560961



# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 DEC 2005
International application No. PCT/DK2004/000451

_							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		I to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.					
		port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
	☐ pub	rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
	Description	, Pages					
	1-37	as originally filed					
	Sequence li	Sequence listings part of the description, Pages					
	1-10	as originally filed					
	Claims, Nur	nbers					
	1-48	as originally filed					
	Drawings, S	heets					
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed					
	⊠ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.		nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	□ the	description, pages claims, Nos.					
		drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify):					
		table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	had not bee	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		description, pages claims, Nos.					
•	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs					
		sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	* If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					











## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000451

_	Pos	x No. II Priority			
1.		This report has been established prescribed time limit the request ☐ copy of the earlier application	sted: on wh	if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the lose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).  on whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).	
2.		This report has been established	ed as Thus	if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated	
3.	Ado	ditional observations, if necessary:			
	see	separate sheet			
		k No. III Non-establishment o	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
1.		ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international applicat	ion,		
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 47, 48 (with respec	t to i	ndustrial applicability)	
		because:			
	×	the said international application relate to the following subject no (specify):	n, or natte	the said claims Nos. 47, 48 (with respect to industrial applicability) r which does not require an international preliminary examination	
		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawithat no meaningful opinion cou	ings ( ld be	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear formed (specify):	
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are s	so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion	
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the written form		has not been furnished	
•				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
		See separate sheet for further	detai	ls .	











### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000451

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-48

No: Claims -

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims -

No: Claims 1-48

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-46

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet









# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000451

	Sup	plemental Box relating to Sequence Listing							
Со	ntin	uation of Box I, item 2:							
1.	With nece	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:							
	a. ty	pe of material:							
	۵	a sequence listing							
		table(s) related to the sequence listing							
	b. fo	ermat of material:							
	Ď	in written format							
	٥	in computer readable form							
	c. tir	me of filing/furnishing:							
	Σ	contained in the international application as filed							
	D	filed together with the international application in computer readable form							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination							
		received by this Authority as an amendment on							
2.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
2	٨٨٨	itional obconvations if necessary							













#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000451

# Re Item II Priority

The claimed priority date is valid.

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The subject matter of claims 47, 48 is directed to methods for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy and/or diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body. For the assessment on the question as to whether this subject matter is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT, Rule 67.1(iv)).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

- D1: LAROCHE-TRAINEAU J ET AL: "Three-step purification of bacterially expressed human single-chain Fv antibodies for clinical applications" JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY. BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS, ELSEVIER, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 737, no. 1-2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 107-117, XP004184259 ISSN: 0378-4347
- D2: WO 97/24438 A (LAUS REINER; WU HONGYU (US); RUEGG CURTIS L (US); ACTIVATED CELL THER) 10 July 1997 (1997-07-10)
- 2. a) Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)











#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000451

art, discloses (abstract; sections 2.3.4-2.3.6; page 116, left column, lines 1-3) a method for the purification of a recombinant protein comprising dialysis, metal affinity chromatography, size exclusion chromatography, anion exchange chromatography. From this, the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that a method for the purification of an other protein is claimed.

The problem to be solved by present claim 1 may therefore be regarded as the provision of a method for the purification of a protein.

The solution proposed, namely the provision of a method for the purification of an EGFR family derived protein (such as HER-2) cannot be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reasons:

In view of the disclosure in document D1, the skilled person would regard it as obvious to combine several purification methods, such as those disclosed in D1 for the purification of HER-2. The skilled person would proceed without the use of inventive skill, using common knowledge and routine only, with a reasonable expectation of success.

In conclusion, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step. The subject matter of claims 2-33 does not seem to add subject matter that would render this part of the application inventive. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-33 does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.

b) The identification of a suitable purification scheme is an elaborate process which requires a lot of experimentation before a useful set of steps are found for the particular protein and raw material in question. Finding an advantageous combination of methods amongst a large number of possibilities is certainly time consuming.

However, the method as claimed is a normal combination of standard techniques, that the person skilled in the art would consider. There does at present not appear to be a surprising effect linked to the specific combination. In conclusion, no inventive step can be acknowledged at present.

If <u>surprising effects</u> were linked to the method for the purification of a <u>specific</u> <u>protein</u>, as might be shown by <u>comparative examples</u>, the objection might be reconsidered.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 2) (EPO-January 2004)











#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000451

3. a) Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art for this part of the application, discloses (abstract; SEQ ID NO:3, 4; Figure 8) a Her-2 fusion protein, having a immunostimulatory effect. From this, the subject-matter of claim 34 differs in that a different HER-2 variant is claimed. SEQ ID NO:4 has 94.8% identity in a 660 amino acid overlap (33-692:20-674) with present SEQ ID NO:2.

The problem to be solved by present claim 34 may therefore be regarded as the provision of a HER-2 variant.

The solution proposed, namely the provision of a further HER-2 variant as defined by SEQ ID NO:2 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reasons:

In view of the disclosure in document D2, the skilled person would regard it as obvious to provide further variants of said protein. The skilled person would proceed without the use of inventive skill, using common knowledge only, with a reasonable expectation of success.

In conclusion, in the absence of a surprising effect, the subject-matter of claim 34 does not involve an inventive step. The subject matter of claims 35-48 does not seem to add subject matter that would render this part of the invention inventive. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 33-48 does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.

b) An inventive step for the claimed protein might be acknowledged if the protein imparted unexpected properties, such as the combination of superior stability, immunogenicity, 3D structure and induction of high quality antibody, when compared to other Her-2 fusions. However, no evidence for such unexpected properties was submitted.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 3) (EPO-January 2004)



